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#### Abstract

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy has been used to monitor the formation of 1.4-benzoxazepinones and their [1,5- $d$ ]tetrazolo analogues via azidotrimethylsilane-mediated Schmidt rearrangement of flavanone precursors. Analysis of the kinetic data has permitted the determination of rate coefficients and rationalization of substituent effects.


In a previous study, ${ }^{2}$ we have shown that Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of flavanones (1), with $m$-chloroperbenzoic acid (MCPBA), proceeds regioselectively to afford 1,5 -benzodi-oxepin-2-ones (2) (Scheme 1). However, when the same substrates undergo the Schmidt reaction ${ }^{3}$ [using azidotrimethylsilane (TMS- $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ ) in trifluoroacetic acid] the regioselectivity of heteroatom insertion is completely reversed yielding the 1,4 -benzoxazepin- $5(4 \mathrm{H})$-ones (3) and their [1,5$d$ ]tetrazolo derivatives (4). ${ }^{4}$ To explain these observations, cognate mechanistic studies of both Baeyer-Villiger ${ }^{5}$ and Schmidt reactions of flavanones have been undertaken; in this article, we report the results of our research on the latter

The Schmidt rearrangement of ketones to afford amides is typically achieved using sodium azide in an acidic medium ${ }^{6}$ and a number of mechanistic investigations have been reported. ${ }^{7,8}$ The generally accepted mechanism involves formation of synand anti-iminodiazonium $\dagger$ ions [e.g. intermediate E (Scheme 2)] followed by migration of the anti-substituent. For alkyl phenyl ketones, aryl migration appears to be dominant unless the alkyl group is bulky [e.g. $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right] .{ }^{8}$ The cyclic alkyl phenyl ketones, chroman-4-one and 6 -methoxytetralone, however, have been shown by Lockhart et al. ${ }^{9}$ to afford, via alkyl migration, the corresponding 1,4-benzoxazepin- $5(4 \mathrm{H})$-one as the sole rearrangement product in each case. $\ddagger$ Flavanones, on treatment with TMS- $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ in trifluoroacetic acid, also exhibit exclusive alkyl migration to afford the 2-aryl-1,4-benzoxazepin$5(4 H)$-ones (3), but the reaction is complicated by the simultaneous formation of the $[1,5-d]$ tetrazolo analogues $4 .^{3.4}$ To our knowledge, no kinetic studies of this reaction system have been reported.

## Experimental

Materials.-The synthesis and characterization of the flavanone precursors, 1 , the 2-aryl-1,4-benzoxazepin- $5(4 \mathrm{H})$ ones, ( $\mathbf{3 a - c}, \mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{f}$ and their $[1,5-d]$ tetrazolo derivatives, $\mathbf{4 a - c}$, $\mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{f}$ have been reported elsewhere. ${ }^{4}$ Analytical data for new compounds involved in this study are as follows.
8-Methoxy-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzoxazepin-5(4H)one (3d). Mp 164-166 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 269.1053. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ requires $M, 269.1052$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $3.42-3.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 3.74\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, 2-\mathrm{H})$, $6.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 6.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.33(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 6-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 47.7(\mathrm{C}-3), 55.5$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 84.8(\mathrm{C}-2), 105.6,109.9,114.6,126.1,128.6,128.7,134.0$, $138.7,157.5,164.5(\mathrm{ArC})$ and $170.7(\mathrm{C}-5) ; v_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $3300(\mathrm{NH})$ and $1670(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$.
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Scheme 1 Reagents: (i), MCPBA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (ii), TMS- $\mathrm{N}_{3}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$

9-Methoxy-6-phenyl-5,6-dihydrotetrazolo[1,5-d]-1,4-benzoxazepine (4d). Mp 130-132 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (Found: C, 65.3; $\mathrm{H}, 4.9 ; \mathrm{N}, 19.0 . \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 65.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.8 ; \mathrm{N}, 19.0 \%$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.83\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.80$ and $5.08(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 6.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 6.81(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dd}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.51(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $8.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 11-\mathrm{H})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 55.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 56.0(\mathrm{C}-5), 79.1(\mathrm{C}-6)$, $105.4,105.5,111.5,126.1,129.1,129.3,131.6,136.4,151.9$ and $158.4(\mathrm{ArC})$ and $163.6(\mathrm{C}-11 \mathrm{~b}) ; v_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1615$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ ).

2-(4-Nitrophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzoxazepin-5(4H)-one (3g). Mp 172-174 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 284.0797$. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $\left.M, 284.0800\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 3.50 and $3.75\left(2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 7.10(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $9-\mathrm{H}), 7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NHCO}), 7.50(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{td}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 7.60\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{and} 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, 6-\mathrm{H})$ and 8.23 $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 3^{\prime}-\right.$ and $\left.5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 46.0(\mathrm{C}-3), 84.6$ (C-2), 122.2, 123.9, 124.4, 126.0, 127.3, 131.0, 133.7, 146.0, 147.9 and $154.0(\mathrm{ArC})$ and $170.9(\mathrm{C}-5) ; v_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3500(\mathrm{NH})$ and $1660(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$.

6-(4-Nitrophenyl)-5,6-dihydrotetrazolo[1,5-d]-1,4-benzoxazepine ( $\mathbf{4 g}$ ). $\mathbf{M p ~} 198^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (Found: $\mathbf{M}^{+}, 309.0829$. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $\left.M, 309.0862\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 4.83 and $5.16\left(2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 7.20(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $8-\mathrm{H}), 7.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 7.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 7.75\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 2^{\prime}-\right.$ and $\left.6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.35\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 3^{\prime}-\right.$ and $\left.5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right)$ and $8.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, 11-\mathrm{H})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 55.9(\mathrm{C}-5), 78.0(\mathrm{C}-6), 112.9,121.4$,
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Scheme 2 Mechanistic sequence for the formation of 1,4-benzoxazepines (3) and their [1,5-d]tetrazolo analogues 4
124.4, 124.6, 127.1, 130.7, 133.6, 142.9 and 148.6(ArC) and 156.2 (C-11b); $v_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1615(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$.

Kinetic Procedure.-The reactions were monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy on a Bruker AMX 400 NMR spectrometer at $303.0 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~K}$. To obviate complications arising from spinning side-bands, the samples were not spun during the kinetic runs.
The flavanone $1(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was weighed into an NMR tube, which was immediately sealed with a septum. Trifluoroacetic $\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right]$ acid (TFA) $\left(0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was then introduced using a syringe and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the substrate was obtained. Thereafter, TMS- $\mathrm{N}_{3}\left(0.042 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added by means of a syringe, the mixture was shaken (time, $t=t_{0}$ ) and the first spectrum of the reaction mixture was obtained as soon as possible. Subsequent spectra were acquired at 30 min intervals during a reaction period of $c a .12 \mathrm{~h}$, corresponding to the consumption of $>50 \%$ of the substrate. The total acquisition time for each 32 scan spectrum was 126 s . Concentration changes were determined by integrating the methylene signals for reactants (1) and products (3 and 4). All kinetic runs were carried out in duplicate and the signals were calibrated relative to the TFA signal at $\delta 11.3$. In each run, the second-order rate coefficient, $k_{1}$, was estimated from plots of $\left(1 /[\mathrm{A}]_{0}-[\mathrm{C}]_{0}\right) \times \ln \left([\mathrm{A}][\mathrm{C}]_{0} /[\mathrm{C}][\mathrm{A}]_{0}\right)$ vs. $t$ [e.g. Fig.


Fig. 1 Kinetic plots for the reaction of compound 1 c with TMS- $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{D}$ at 303 K , as summarized in Scheme 3. (a) Second-order plot for the consumption of substrates A and C. (b) Experimental data for the consumption of substrate $A$ and the formation of products $G$ and H. (c) Calculated curves, obtained using eqns. (1)-(6).

1 (a); see Scheme 3 for notation]. The implicit assumption that the first term in eqn. (2) remains dominant, becomes less justified as the reaction progresses and, not surprisingly, some deviation from linearity was observed in most cases. The value of $k_{1}$, thus obtained, was then adjusted to optimise agreement between the experimental and calculated data. Holding $k_{1}$ constant and using eqns. (1)-(6), trial values for $k_{2}, k_{3}$ and $k_{4}$ were varied until calculated values matched the experimentally determined plots [e.g. Figs. $1(b)$ and (c)] for the rate of consumption of substrate $(\mathrm{A} \equiv$ compound $\mathbf{1})$ and the rates of formation of products ( G and $\mathbf{H}$, corresponding to compounds 3 and 4, respectively).

## Results and Discussion

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy proved to be an ideal method for following transformation of the flavonones $\mathbf{1 a - g}$ to the

Table 1 Calculated rate coefficients for the formation of 1,4-benzodiazepines (3) and their [1,5-d]tetrazolo analogues (4) from the corresponding flavanones (1)

| Substrate |  |  | Rate coefficient ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & k_{1}^{c} / \mathrm{dm}^{3} \\ & \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $k_{2} / \min ^{-1}$ | $k_{3} / \min ^{-1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & k_{4} / \mathrm{dm}^{3} \\ & \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \min ^{-1} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1a | H | H | 0.0075 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.12 |
| 1b | F | H | 0.0040 | 0.28 | 0.08 | 0.04 |
| 1c | Br | H | 0.0033 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.06 |
| 1d | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | 0.0050 | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.03 |
| 1e | H | F | 0.0060 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 0.09 |
| $1 f$ | H | Br | 0.0055 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.08 |
| 1 g | H | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 0.0045 | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.06 |

${ }^{a}$ According to Scheme $3 .{ }^{b}$ See Scheme $1 .{ }^{c}$ Mean of duplicate determinations $\left(\leqslant \pm 0.0001 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$.
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Fig. 2 Substituent effects: (a) inhibiting nucleophilic addition; (b) enhancing elimination; and (c) increasing the -I effect of the 2-aryl group
corresponding 2-aryl-1,4-benzoxazepin-5(4H)-ones (3a-g) and their $[1,5-d]$ tetrazolo derivatives $\mathbf{4 a - g}$. The spectra clearly indicated the presence of substrate and products, but no accumulation of intermediates was apparent. Analysis of the kinetic data, however, is complicated by ( $i$ ) the simultaneous formation of two different products (3 and 4); (ii) the involvement of several steps, some of which are assumed to be reversible; and (iii) the fact that an additional molecule of TMS- $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ is consumed in the formation of each molecule of the tetrazolo product 4. These complications necessitated iterative computer analysis of the data, and for this purpose, the expected mechanism, which is detailed in Scheme 2, was represented by a simplified, consolidated sequence (Scheme 3). Application of the associated eqns. (1)-(6), as described in the Experimental section, afforded values for the rate coefficients $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right.$ and $k_{4}$ ) for each reaction (Table 1).

The tabulated data revealed several interesting trends.
(a) Formation of the iminodiazonium ion intermediate E is rate-limiting in all cases (i.e. $k_{1} \ll k_{2}, k_{3}$ and $k_{4}$ ).
(b) The magnitude of the second-order rate coefficient $k_{1}$ clearly depends on the nature of the substituent. Formation of intermediate E , however, is expected to involve three steps, two of which are reversible (Scheme 2), and $k_{1}$ may therefore be expressed as a composite of the equilibrium constants $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{C} \xrightarrow{k_{1}} \mathrm{E} \xrightarrow{k_{2}} \mathrm{~F} \xrightarrow{k_{3}} \mathrm{G} \\
\mathrm{C} \mid  \tag{1}\\
-\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{~A}] / \mathrm{d} t=k_{1}[\mathrm{~A}][\mathrm{C}]  \tag{2}\\
-\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{C}] / \mathrm{d} t=k_{1}[\mathrm{~A}][\mathrm{C}]+k_{4}[\mathrm{~F}][\mathrm{C}]  \tag{3}\\
\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{E}] / \mathrm{d} t=k_{1}[\mathrm{~A}][\mathrm{C}]-k_{2}[\mathrm{E}]  \tag{4}\\
\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{~F}] / \mathrm{d} t=k_{2}[\mathrm{E}]-k_{3}[\mathrm{~F}]-k_{4}[\mathrm{~F}][\mathrm{C}]  \tag{5}\\
\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{G}] / \mathrm{d} t=k_{3}[\mathrm{~F}]  \tag{6}\\
\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{H}] / \mathrm{d} t=k_{4}[\mathrm{~F}][\mathrm{C}]
\end{gather*}
$$

Scheme 3 Consolidated reaction sequence and the associated rate equations used to analyse the kinetic data
and the rate coefficient $k_{\mathrm{c}}$, i.e., $k_{1}=k_{\mathrm{c}} K_{\mathrm{a}} K_{\mathrm{b}}$ (7). Consequently, the observed trends (e.g. $k_{1}$ for $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}>\mathrm{MeO}>\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{Br}$ ) may be presumed to reflect net substituent effects. Thus, lone
pair delocalization will inhibit the nucleophilic addition step [ $\mathrm{B} \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}$; Fig. 2(a)] by reducing carbonyl electrophilicity (hence, $k_{1}$ for $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}>\mathrm{MeO}$ ), but promote the dehydration step§ $\left[\mathrm{D} \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}\right.$; Fig. 2(b)] and hence $k_{1}$ for $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{MeO}>$ $\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{Br}$. In the case of the $4^{\prime}$-substituents $\mathrm{R}^{2}$, the observed trend ( $k_{1}$ for $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}>\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{Br}>\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ) correlates inversely with overall electron-withdrawing capacity, $\|_{\text {t }}$ the -I effect of the 2-aryl substituent inhibiting the dehydration step $[\mathrm{D} \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}$; Fig. 2(c)].
(c) The actual rearrangement $(\mathrm{E} \longrightarrow \mathrm{F})$ appears to be the fastest step in the process, the overall analysis proving to be relatively insensitive (within certain limits, viz., $0.20<k_{2} /$ $\min ^{-1}<0.28$ ) to variation of the magnitude of $k_{2}$. The observed regioselectivity of the rearrangement may be rationalized in terms of lone pair delocalization of the pyran oxygen atom, which $(i)$ inhibits aryl migration by increasing the double bond character of the bond between the fused ring and the migration origin [Fig. 3(a)] ${ }^{9}$ and (ii) enhances alkyl migration by stabilizing the incipient carbocation [Fig. 3(b)]. Thus, for the flavanones $\mathbf{1 a - g}$, regioselectivity is attributed to transition state factors rather than to the equilibrium populations of the synand anti-iminodiazonium ions E. \|
(d) For each substrate, $k_{3}$ is approximately twice as large as $k_{4}$, an observation which is consistent with the predominant formation of the lactams 3 relative to their [1,5-d]tetrazolo derivatives 4.
Although the rate coefficients $k_{1}$ to $k_{4}$ obtained for each system do not necessarily constitute unique solution sets, they are, nevertheless, consistent with the mechanism outlined in Scheme 3. Moreover, the analytical approach adopted here
§ Elimination is presumably solvent induced as indicated in Fig. 2(b). I See ref. 10 for comparative $\sigma_{p}^{0}$ values.
$\|$ A theoretical study of formimino analogues (see ref. 11) has indicated that the magnitude of the nitrogen inversion barriers precludes rapid isomerization between syn- and anti-iminodiazonium ions. Delocalization effects [e.g. Fig. 3(a)] may, however, be expected to reduce the inversion barrier significantly.
(a)
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Fig. 3 Involvement of the pyran oxygen in: (a) inhibiting aryl migration and (b) stabilizing the carbocation generated via alkyl migration
provides a useful insight into the complex role of substituents at various stages in this important reaction.
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[^0]:    $\dagger$ The orientation of a specified substituent relative to the diazo group. $\ddagger$ The possibility of rapid in situ hydrolysis of the 1,5 -analogues was considered by these authors.

